arXiv:hep-th/9811181v1 20 Nov 1998

Nonrenormalizability and Nontriviality

John R. Klauder
Departments of Physics and Mathematics
University of Florida
Gainesville, Fl 32611

Abstract

A redesigned starting point for covariant ϕ^4_n, $n \geq 4$, models is suggested that takes the form of an alternative lattice action and which may have the virtue of leading to a nontrivial quantum field theory in the continuum limit. The lack of conventional scattering for such theories is understood through an interchange of limits.

Despite being perturbatively nonrenormalizable, the quantum theory of covariant scalar ϕ^4_n models has been shown to be trivial for all space-time dimensions $n \geq 5$, while for $n = 4$ it is widely believed to be trivial as well [1]. Triviality follows by showing that the conventionally lattice-regularized, Euclidean-space functional integral tends to a Gaussian distribution in the continuum limit independent of any choice of renormalizations for the mass, coupling constant, and field strength. Although mathematically sound, a trivial result is inconsistent in the sense that the classical limit of the quantized theory differs from the original (nontrivial) classical theory. In this Letter we reexamine this problem once again, and suggest an alternative formulation whereby quantum models for ϕ^4_n may be nontrivial. Generally, in what follows, we set $\hbar = 1$.

We start with a lattice-regularized, Euclidean-space functional integral expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} S_a(h) &\equiv \langle \exp(\Sigma\, h_k \phi_k a^n) \rangle \\ &\equiv N_a \int \exp\{\Sigma\, h_k \phi_k a^n - \tfrac{1}{2} Z\, \Sigma (\phi_{k^*} - -\phi_k)^2 a^{n-2} - \tfrac{1}{2} Z m_o^2\, \Sigma\, \phi_k^2 a^n \\ &\qquad - Z^2 g_o\, \Sigma\, \phi_k^4 a^n - \Sigma\, P(Z^{1/2}\phi_k, a)\, a^n\} \,\Pi\, d\phi_k \,. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here $k = (k^0, \cdots, k^{n-1})$, $k^j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all j, labels a lattice site; k^* signifies one of the n nearest neighbors to k and the sums run over a large but finite hypercubic lattice; a represents the lattice spacing; h_k denotes the lattice-cell average of a smooth source function $h(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $Z > 0$, m_o^2, and $g_o \geq 0$ are functions of the cutoff a; and—for the present—the auxiliary term $P \equiv 0$. We choose N_a such that $S_a(0) = 1$, and let $\langle(\cdot)\rangle$ denote an average with respect to the resultant probability distribution. The continuum limit is defined as the limit $a \to 0$ in conjunction with a diverging number of lattice sites so that the space-time volume itself eventually tends to $\mathbb{R}^n$ in a suitable way. For $n \leq 3$, the continuum limit leads to acceptable (nontrivial) results [2]; for $n \geq 5$, on the other hand, the continuum limit has the form [1]

$$\lim S_a(h) = \exp[\,\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\int h(x)C(x-y)h(y)\,d^nx\,d^ny\,] \tag{2}$$

for a suitable covariance function $C(x-y)$, and all indications point to the same conclusion when $n = 4$. [If $C(x-y)$ is not locally integrable, then this condition is replaced by one in terms of correlation functions with noncoincident points.]

Let us sketch one plausible argument that leads to trivial behavior. Consider the dimensionless and rescaling invariant correlation-function ratios, which also admit meaningful continuum limits, given, for $r \geq 1$, by

$$g_{(r)} \equiv \frac{\Sigma\langle\phi_0\phi_{k_2}\cdots\phi_{k_{2r}}\rangle^T}{[\Sigma\langle\phi_0\phi_k\rangle]^r[\Sigma k^2\langle\phi_0\phi_k\rangle/6\Sigma\langle\phi_0\phi_k\rangle]^{n(r-1)/2}}\ ; \tag{3}$$

by symmetry, all odd-order correlations vanish. For $n \geq 5$, mean field theory is generally accepted, and for small a it leads to the behavior that $g_{(r)} \propto a^{(n-4)(r-1)}$. Thus for $n \geq 5$ and $r \geq 2$, $g_{(r)} \to 0$ in the continuum limit. The Lebowitz inequality [2], which states that $\langle\phi_j\phi_k\phi_l\phi_m\rangle^T \leq 0$ for such models, linked with $g_{(2)} \to 0$, implies the vanishing of the truncated (T) four-point function in the continuum limit. Among the class of distributions considered, only a Gaussian distribution admits a vanishing truncated four-point function, and triviality follows. For $n = 4$, logarithmic corrections to mean field theory arise and for small a it is plausible that $g_{(r)} \propto |\ln(a)|^{-(r-1)}$, which again leads to triviality as $a \to 0$.

For $n \geq 5$, the indicated a dependence of $g_{(r)}$ stems from the separate mean field behavior, valid for small a, given by $\Sigma\langle\phi_0\cdots\phi_{k_{2r}}\rangle^T \propto a^{-2-6(r-1)}$ and $\Sigma k^2\langle\phi_0\phi_k\rangle \propto a^{-4}$ [3]. This behavior reflects the divergence arising from

the (multiple) *sum* and is based, for a suitable choice of Z, on a largely a-*in*dependent correlation function $\langle \phi_0 \cdots \phi_{k_{2r}} \rangle^T$.

Let us return to (1), now allowing for an auxiliary contribution $P \not\equiv 0$. Normally, P is determined by a perturbation analysis so as to cancel unwanted ultraviolet divergences in the continuum limit. Instead, we propose to *design* P to achieve nontriviality. In particular, we choose P so that the truncated correlation functions themselves become *uniformly* a-dependent, specifically, for all $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 5$, that

$$\langle \phi_0 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_{k_{2r}} \rangle^T \propto a^{n-4} \; ; \tag{4}$$

for $n = 4$ the right side of (4) should be replaced by $|\ln(a)|^{-1}$. With such a choice for P, the combined effects of the divergence due to long-range order and rescaled amplitude lead to $g_{(r)} \propto a^0 = 1$ for all $r \geq 1$, and for any $n \geq 4$. Choosing $Z \propto a^{n-4}$ [or $|\ln(a)|^{-1}$] properly rescales the correlation functions to macroscopic values. Such a theory would be non-Gaussian, hence nontrivial, in the continuum limit.

Based on experience with related but soluble models [4], we conjecture that a P of the form

$$P(\phi_k, a) = A(a) \, \frac{[\phi_k^2 - B(a)]}{[\phi_k^2 + C(a)]^2} \; , \tag{5}$$

for suitably chosen A, B, and C (which also depend on n), may do the job. As $a \to 0$, we expect that $A \to \infty$, $B \to 0$, and $C \to 0$. Thus the indicated expression is a regularized form of a formal continuum potential proportional to $1/\phi(x)^2$. However, just as the $1/r^2$ potential that arises from the kinetic energy in a spherically symmetric, quantum-mechanical situation necessarily carries a proportionality factor of $\hbar^2$, it is more proper to recognize that the formal auxiliary potential P is proportional to $\hbar^2/\phi(x)^2$, i.e., $A \propto \hbar^2$. Carrying the analogy further, we observe that P is not a counterterm for the quartic interaction but rather for the kinetic energy term. Thus we are led to propose that P is a *nonclassical, auxiliary potential*, which explains its absence in a strictly classical limit in which $\hbar \to 0$. Based on related models, we are also led to conjecture that a P having the desired properties leads to a quantum theory the classical limit of which agrees with the classical theory with which one started.

Assuming that some such P exists, we can proceed to derive, in a general fashion, certain additional facts. The nature of the nonclassical, auxiliary

potential P leads to a generalized Poisson distribution in the continuum limit. In Minkowski space-time the operator structure of such fields admits a relatively simple superstructure. Introduce the basic (Fock) operators A_l and $A_l^\dagger$, $l \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, where $[A_l, A_m] = 0$ and $[A_l, A_m^\dagger] = \delta_{lm}$ for all l and m, and $A_l|0\rangle = 0$ for all l, with $|0\rangle$ unique. As usual, the Hilbert space is spanned by vectors of the form $|0\rangle$, $A_l^\dagger|0\rangle$, $A_l^\dagger A_m^\dagger|0\rangle$, etc. Furthermore, let $\Lambda_{lm}(x)$ $[= \Lambda_{ml}(x)^*]$ and $C_l(x)$ denote a suitable set of complex fields. With summation implied, the Minkowski field operator has the representation [5]

$$\varphi(x) = A_l^\dagger \, \Lambda_{lm}(x) \, A_m + A_l^\dagger \, C_l(x) + C_l^*(x) \, A_l \; . \tag{6}$$

It follows from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)\cdots\varphi(x_{2r-1})\varphi(x_{2r})|0\rangle^T \\ &\qquad = C_{l_1}^*(x_1)\Lambda_{l_1\,l_2}(x_2)\cdots\Lambda_{l_{2r-2}\,l_{2r-1}}(x_{2r-1})C_{l_{2r-1}}(x_{2r}) \; , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

assuming all odd-order correlation functions vanish. Since $\langle 0|\varphi(x)\varphi(y)|0\rangle = C_l^*(x)\, C_l(y)$, it follows, for example, from the spectral representation for $n \geq 4$, that $\Sigma|C_l(x)|^2 = \infty$. The field $\varphi(x)$ is Gaussian if $\Lambda_{lm}(x) \equiv 0$; for $\varphi(x)$ to be non-Gaussian requires $\Lambda_{lm}(x) \not\equiv 0$.

Fields with truncated correlations of the form (7) can have no conventional particle scattering. For example, with $\varphi(h) \equiv \int \varphi(x)\, h(x)\, d^n x$, it follows from (7) that $\langle 0|\varphi(h_1)\varphi(h_2)\varphi(h_2)\varphi(h_1)|0\rangle^T \geq 0$, and by an associated Schwarz inequality [6] that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq\; &|\langle 0|\varphi(f_1)\varphi(f_2)\varphi(g_2)\varphi(g_1)|0\rangle^T|^2 \\ &\leq \langle 0|\varphi(f_1)\varphi(f_2)\varphi(f_2)\varphi(f_1)|0\rangle^T \, \langle 0|\varphi(g_1)\varphi(g_2)\varphi(g_2)\varphi(g_1)|0\rangle^T \; . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Passing to asymptotic fields, $\varphi(f) \to \varphi_{\rm out}(f)$, and $\varphi(g) \to \varphi_{\rm in}(g)$, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \leq |\langle 0|\varphi_{\rm out}(f_1)\varphi_{\rm out}(f_2)\varphi_{\rm in}(g_2)\varphi_{\rm in}(g_1)|0\rangle^T|^2 \\ &\leq \langle 0|\varphi_{\rm out}(f_1)\varphi_{\rm out}(f_2)\varphi_{\rm out}(f_2)\varphi_{\rm out}(f_1)|0\rangle^T \, \langle 0|\varphi_{\rm in}(g_1)\varphi_{\rm in}(g_2)\varphi_{\rm in}(g_2)\varphi_{\rm in}(g_1)|0\rangle^T \\ &\qquad = 0 \; . \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

This behavior is consistent with the assumption that $\Lambda_{lm}(x) \to \Lambda_{lm}^{\rm out,in}(x) \equiv 0$ and $C_l(x) \to C_l^{\rm out}(x) = C_l^{\rm in}(x)$.

On the surface, it would appear that a quantum theory with no conventional scattering would be inconsistent with the original classical theory

which is known to exhibit nontrivial classical scattering [7]. However, an interchange of limits is involved here, which, on closer inspection, shows that no inconsistency arises.

If we assume the suggested form for the nonclassical, auxiliary potential P, there exists, in effect, an additional term in the operator energy density proportional to $\hbar^2/\varphi(x)^2$, or in the corresponding Heisenberg equation of motion an additional term proportional to $\hbar^2/\varphi(x)^3$. Such terms *preclude* the field operator from reaching arbitrarily small values, and hence nontrivial asymptotic fields (with $\hbar > 0$) fail to exist in the usual sense.

We summarize the situation as follows: On the one hand, we observe that taking the classical limit ($\hbar \to 0$) after going to the asymptotic quantum fields leaves us with no classical scattering. On the other hand, if one takes the classical limit ($\hbar \to 0$) of the quantum equations of motion at finite time values and solves the resultant classical theory, then one should find the nontrivial scattering of the classical theory alluded to before.

¿From another perspective, the behavior just outlined can also lead to intermediate situations. Let us imagine a quantum system endowed with a great deal of energy and composed of a huge number of quanta. By the correspondence principle, the associated scattering behavior can be approximately treated classically and is therefore nonvanishing provided one sticks to possibly large, but *finite*, preparation and detection times in the past and future, respectively. In other words, such a field may not exhibit conventional quantum particle scattering in the strict sense, but under suitable conditions, an effective scattering theory may well exist that could help in recovering the nontrivial scattering of the original classical theory. Moreover, should there be any stable large-field configurations, such as solitons, then scattering between such entities may well exist.

With $A(a) \to \infty$ sufficiently fast, the proposed form of P given in (5) can avoid the fate—irrelevancy—of usual higher-order interactions in a renormalization-group treatment. Any analysis of these models should perhaps begin with the case $g_o \equiv 0$ for which, thanks to P, nontriviality is still expected.

References

[1] R. Fernández, J. Froehlich, and A. Sokal, *Random Walks, Critical Phenomena and Triviality in Quantum Field Theory* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992).

[2] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, *Quantum Physics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987), Second edition.

[3] M. Fisher, Rep. Prog. Phys. **30**, 615-730 (1967).

[4] J.R. Klauder, *Beyond Conventional Quantization* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999), in press.

[5] J.R. Klauder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **28**, 769-772 (1972).

[6] D. Buchholz, private communication.

[7] M. Reed, *Abstract Linear Wave Equations* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976).